Table 1. Armenia: Summary Assessment

| Phase/Institution | | se/Institution | Strength | Effectiveness | Rec. # | Reform Priority |
|-------------------|----|---|--|---|--------|--------------------|
| A. Planning | 1 | Fiscal principles or rules | High: There are permanent fiscal rules for the central government and separate community debt limits. | Medium: The new fiscal rules provide an effective anchor for fiscal policy, but the MTFF is not credible. | | Medium |
| | 2 | National and sectoral plans | Medium: Project cost information included in planning documents is not constrained by resource envelops; and project-specific performance targets are not mentioned. | Low: Planning documents do not guide investment decisions, because planning horizons or project costs are not consistent across different documents or with the MTEF envelop. | 1 | High |
| | 3 | Coordination between entities | Medium: Rule-based capital transfers are introduced, but communities' projects funded by own revenue are not formally coordinated. | Medium: Communities' capital expenditure funded by own revenue are limited to small capital repairs. | | Medium |
| | 4 | Project appraisal | Low: There is no standardized requirements or methodologies for project appraisal. | Medium: Domestic projects are subject to rigorous costing and technical appraisal, but major externally financed projects are not selected based on systematic appraisals. | 2 | High |
| | 5 | Alternative infrastructure financing | Medium: A level of competition varies across markets; the PPP framework is yet to be developed; and PCs investment plans are scrutinized but not published. | Medium: The new PPP law is being prepared to codify the PPP policy framework, but PCs' Investment plans are not credible. | | Medium |
| B. Allocation | 6 | Multi-year budgeting | Medium: Multiyear ceilings are not binding on capital expenditure; there is no publication of total project costs. | Low: Multiyear ceilings do not provide a credible anchor; the baseline projections are distorted by implementation problems of largest projects. | 3 | High |
| | 7 | Budget comprehensiven ess and unity | High: Disclosure of capital expenditures in the budget document is comprehensive and uses functional and program classifications. | High: Capital expenditure by General Government units outside the budget sector is minimal. | | Low |
| | 8 | Budgeting for investment | Low: Total project costs are not published in the budget documents; there is no mechanism to protect funding of ongoing projects. | Low: Total costs of some major projects have overshot; ongoing projects in some program were given no allocation in the original budget. | 4, 7 | High |
| | 9 | Maintenance funding | Medium: Standard methodologies exist for capital and recurrent maintenance projects in many, but not all, sectors. | Medium: Maintenance funding is well protected during the budget execution but is not growing as fast as capital spending for new projects. | | Medium |
| | 10 | Project selection | Low: There are no selection criteria or process for selecting major projects; and no project pipeline exists. | Low: Externally financed projects are selected without being assessed against criteria or reviewed by a central agency. | 2, 5 | High |
| C. Implementation | 11 | Procurement | High: The electronic tools are open and transparent; all procurement related documents are publicly open; the meetings of Appeal Board are transparent. | Medium: The use of non-competitive process is declining, but the cost estimation is not realistic; analytical reports of complaints are yet to be improved. | 6 | Medium |
| | 12 | Availability of funding | High: Almost all payments for general government capital expenditure are covered by the TSA. | High: There have been no significant cash release problems since the impact of the global financial crisis in 2009. | | Low |
| | 13 | Portfolio management and oversight | Medium: Reporting of physical and financial progress is in place; reallocation can be made between projects; but ex-post reviews of major projects are not regularly conducted. | Medium: Reallocation does not alter original allocation significantly, while the MOF has limited power to control implementation of major projects. | | Medium |
| | 14 | Project implementation | Medium: There are no common rules and procedures for project adjustments; the ex-post audits have been undertaken for some projects on an ad-hoc basis. | Low: No central agency has the mandate for reprioritization of projects facing implementation problems; the Audit Chamber is yet to be fully transformed from the Control Chamber. | 3, 7 | High |
| | 15 | Management of public assets | Medium: Asset registers are fragmented but updated regularly; there is no reporting of capital stock. | Medium: The quality of some asset registers is being improved. | | Medium |